DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

DEREK A. ANDRADE,
Plaintiff

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO.

BRASHMODE, INC., and CHRISTOPHER MARTINS, Defendants 05 - 115 REK

## PLAINTIFF, DEREK A. ANDRADE, COMPLAINT AND JURY CLAIM

### I. PARTIES

- 1. The Plaintiff, Derek A. Andrade (hereinafter "Andrade"), is an individual residing in Tampa, Florida.
- 2. The Defendant, Brashmode, Inc. (hereinafter "Brashmode" or the "Mahogany Grill"), is a corporation duly organized under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, and maintains its principal place in Taunton, Bristol County, Massachusetts, and does business under the name of the Mahogany Grill.
- 3. The Defendant, Christopher Martins (hereinafter "Martins"), is an individual residing in Taunton, Bristol County, Massachusetts.

### II. JURISDICTION

Jurisdiction on this matter is based upon 28 U.S.C. §1332, diversity of citizenship, as
this action involves a dispute between citizens of different states, and the amount in
controversy exceeds \$75,000.00.

## III. GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 5. On or about August 3, 2002, during the evening, the Plaintiff Andrade and his girlfriend, Dorothy Hughes, were patrons at the Mahogany Grill, a bar room and tayern owned and operated by the Defendant Brashmode in Taunton, Massachusetts.
- 6. At approximately 11:30 P.M., the Defendant Martins and several other individuals arrived together at the Mahogany Grill.
- 7. At the time of their arrival at the Mahogany Grill, the Defendant Martins and the other individuals who accompanied him, were visibly intoxicated.
- 8. Shortly following his arrival, the Defendant Martins walked up behind Dorothy Hughes and began to push up against her, startling Ms. Hughes.
- 9. Upon observing the behavior of the Defendant Martins, the Plaintiff Andrade told the Defendant Martins not to touch Ms. Hughes and to move away from her.
- 10. A verbal argument then ensued between the Plaintiff Andrade and the Defendant Martins, which lasted for several minutes.
- While the verbal argument was occurring, other individuals who were with the 11. Defendant Martins came and stood next to him.
- 12. Following this verbal argument, the Defendant Martins and other members of his party went to the other side of the tavern, to an area where a pool table was located. For approximately the next ten to fifteen minutes, the Defendant Martins and others in his company glared at the Plaintiff Andrade.
- 13. Shortly thereafter, the Defendant Martins snuck up behind the Plaintiff Andrade, and, without warning, proceeded to strike the Plaintiff Andrade in his left eye

with a pool cue ball. The other individuals who were with the Defendant Martins, and whose identities are unknown to the Plaintiff Andrade, then joined in the Defendant Martins' attack upon the Plaintiff.

- 14. Employees of the Defendant Brashmode including, in particular, the tavern manager, Ann Wetterell, witnessed the loud and aggressive behavior of Defendant Martins, including his contact with Ms. Hughes, the ensuing verbal argument between the Plaintiff Andrade and the Defendant Martins, the threatening conduct of the Defendant Martins and the other individuals in his company toward the Plaintiff Andrade, and, finally, the beating of the Plaintiff Andrade by the Defendant Martins and the other individuals who were with him that night.
- 15. After observing the Defendant Martins make contact with Ms. Hughes, and then engage in a verbal argument with the Plaintiff Andrade, the tavern manager, Ann Wetterel, served the Defendant Martins and others in his party with alcoholic beverages.
- 16. In addition, after the Plaintiff Andrade was assaulted by the Defendant Martins, employees of the Defendant Brashmode, including, in particular, Ann Wetterell, ignored repeated requests by patrons at the tavern to call the Taunton police, and to call for medical assistance for the Plaintiff Andrade.
- 17. Notwithstanding these many observations, employees of the Defendant Brashmode failed to take any action whatsoever against the Defendant Martins and permitted the Defendant Martins and members of his party to remain on the premises even after their beating of the Plaintiff Andrade.

- 18. Following the incident, the Defendant Brashmode was directed by the Taunton Police Department to turn over a videotape which, upon information and belief, showed the beating of the Plaintiff by the Defendant Martins and the other individuals.
- Notwithstanding the directive of the Taunton Police Department, the Defendant Brashmode destroyed the videotape.
- 20. As a result of the beating, as hereinbefore set forth, the Plaintiff Andrade sustained serious and permanent injuries, including, in particular, a ruptured globe and detached lens in his left eye, which have rendered him legally blind in that eye.

### COUNT I

ANDRADE v. BRASHMODE, INC. (Action for Negligence - Inadequate Security)

- 21. The Plaintiff Andrade repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs one through twenty as if fully restated herein.
- On or about August 3, 2002, the Defendant Brashmode negligently failed to maintain adequate security to protect its patrons, including the Plaintiff Andrade, from the assaultive behavior of other patrons, including but not limited to, the Defendant Martins and the other members of his party. Said negligence includes, but is not limited to, the following:
  - (a) The Defendant Brashmode negligently failed to take any action against the Defendant Martins and members of his party while they engaged in abusive and aggressive behavior against patrons of the Mahogany Grill, including the Plaintiff Andrade and Dorothy Hughes;

- (b) The Defendant Brashmode negligently permitted the Defendant Martins to have access to the pool cue ball that he used to strike the Plaintiff Andrade after the Defendant Martins had engaged in abusive and aggressive behavior against patrons of the Mahogany Grill, including the Plaintiff Andrade and Dorothy Hughes; and
- (c) The Defendant Brashmode negligently failed to protect its patrons, including the Plaintiff Andrade, from abusive and aggressive behavior on the part of other patrons, including the Defendant Martins.
- 23. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of the Defendant Brashmode, the Plaintiff Andrade sustained severe and permanent personal injuries and permanent scarring, was caused to be disabled and will be disabled in the future, was caused to incur and will continue to incur great medical and hospital expenses for said injuries, and was caused to sustain and will continue to sustain loss of income and earning capacity. The Plaintiff Andrade's ability to enjoy life and to attend to his usual activities has been damaged.

#### COUNT II

ANDRADE v. BRASHMODE, INC.

(Action for Negligence – Knowingly Serving An Intoxicated Person)

- 24. The Plaintiff Andrade repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs one through twenty three as if fully restated herein.
- 25. On or about August 3, 2002, the Defendant Brashmode knew, or should have known that several of its patrons including, in particular, the Defendant Martins and the members of his party, had ingested a significant amount of alcohol, both prior to and after their arrival upon the premises.

- 26. Despite said knowledge, and notwithstanding the observations made by employees of the Defendant Brashmode of the behavior of the Defendant Martins and the members of his party after their arrival upon the premises, the Defendant Brashmode negligently and knowingly served alcoholic beverages to the Defendant Martins and the members of his party, even though the Defendant Martins and the members of his party were exhibiting open and obvious signs of their intoxication.
- 27. As a result of the negligence of the Defendant Brashmode in serving alcoholic beverages to the Defendant Martins and the members of his party, said individuals became more intoxicated and more aggressive and combative towards other patrons, including, in particular, the Plaintiff Andrade.
- 28. The Defendant Martins and the members of his party, while in an intoxicated condition as the direct and proximate result of the negligent service of alcohol by the Defendant Brashmode, did assault and beat the Plaintiff Andrade and otherwise caused the Plaintiff Andrade serious and disabling personal injuries.
- As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of the Defendant Brashmode, the Plaintiff Andrade sustained severe and permanent personal injuries and permanent scarring, was caused to be disabled and will be disabled in the future, was caused to incur and will continue to incur great medical and hospital expenses for said injuries, and was caused to sustain and will continue to sustain loss of income and earning capacity. The Plaintiff Andrade's ability to enjoy life and to attend to his usual activities has been damaged.

#### COUNT III

# ANDRADE v. MARTINS (Assault)

- 30. The Plaintiff, Derek A. Andrade, restates and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs one through twenty nine as if fully restated herein.
- 31. The Defendant Martins did assault the Plaintiff Andrade, on or about August 3, 2002, and said assault was without justification, and without cause.
- 32. As a direct and proximate result of the assault on the Plaintiff was caused to undergo significant mental distress and suffered great humiliation and injury to his pride, reputation, and position in life.

### **COUNT IV**

# ANDRADE v. MARTINS (Action for Battery)

- 33. The Plaintiff, Derek A. Andrade, restates and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs one through thirty two as if fully restated herein.
- 34. The Defendant Martins did beat the Plaintiff Andrade on or about August 3, 2002, and did perpetrate battery upon him without justification and without cause.
- 35. As a direct and proximate result of the battery committed by the Defendant Martins, the Plaintiff Andrade sustained severe and permanent personal injuries and permanent scarring, was caused to be disabled and will be disabled in the future, was caused to incur and will continue to incur great medical and hospital expenses for said injuries, and was caused to sustain and will continue to sustain

loss of income and earning capacity. The Plaintiff Andrade's ability to enjoy life and to attend to his usual activities has been damaged.

#### PRAYERS FOR RELIEF

The Plaintiff, Derek A. Andrade, demands judgment as follows:

- 1. That, on the claims asserted in Count I of this Complaint, this Honorable Court enter judgment for the Plaintiff Andrade against the Defendant Brashmode, Inc., for the full amount of the damages caused to the Plaintiff Andrade, including interest and his costs incurred in bringing this action.
- 2. That, on the claims asserted in Count II of this Complaint, this Honorable Court enter judgment for the Plaintiff Andrade against the Defendant Brashmode, Inc., for the full amount of the damages caused to the Plaintiff Andrade, including interest and his costs incurred in bringing this action.
- 3. That, on the claims asserted in Count III of this Complaint, this Honorable Court enter judgment for the Plaintiff Andrade against the Defendant Martins for the full amount of the damages caused to the Plaintiff Andrade, including interest and his costs incurred in bringing this action.
- 4. That, on the claims asserted in Count IV of this Complaint, this Honorable Court enter judgment for the Plaintiff Andrade against the Defendant Martins for the full amount of the damages caused to the Plaintiff Andrade, including interest and his costs incurred in bringing this action.
- 5. That the Defendants Brashmode, Inc. and Martins be found jointly and severally liable to the Plaintiff Andrade.

9

That this Honorable Court order such other and further relief as it deems just,
 equitable, or otherwise appropriate in the circumstances.

# JURY CLAIM

The Plaintiff, Derek A. Andrade, demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted,

DEREK A. ANDRADE

By his attorney,

John J. Landry, IN (BBO No. 544388)

GLYNN, LANDRY, HARRINGTON & RICE

10 Forbes Road

Braintree, MA 02184-2605

(781) 356-1399

Case 1:05-cv-11507-WCYVIPOCOVER SHEE Hed 07/15/2005 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained: an neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of ple ags or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

	INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM,							
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS					
Derek A. Andrade		Brashmode, Inc.	Brashmode, Inc. and Christopher Martins					
• • •	e of First Listed Plaintiff Tampa, Florida (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Bristol County  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF TH  LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorney's (Firm Nam	ne, Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Known)						
John T. Landry, III, Gly	nn, Landry, Harrington & Rice, LLP, 10 Fo	orbes $\Lambda = 1$						
Road, Braintee, MA 02	184-2605 (781) 356-1399 x. 16	<u> </u>						
II. BASIS OF JURIS	DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)				
U.S. Government Plaintiff	•		(For Diversity Cases Only) and O  PTF DEF  Citizen of This State					
2 U.S. Government Defendant	5 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2					
		Citizen or Subject of a C Foreign Country	3 G 3 Foreign Nation					
IV. NATURE OF SU	IT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES				
110 Insurance   120 Marine   130 Miller Act   140 Negotiable Instrument   150 Recovery of Overpaymen & Enforcement of Judgme   151 Medicare Act   152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)   153 Recovery of Overpaymen of Veteran's Benefits   160 Stockholders' Suits   190 Other Contract   195 Contract Product Liability   196 Franchise   REAL PROPERTY   210 Land Condemnation   220 Foreclosure   230 Rent Lease & Ejectment   240 Torts to Land   245 Tort Product Liability   290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 750 Motor Vehicle 750 Personal Injury 960 Motor Personal 1770 Other Fraud 3770 Other Fraud 3780 Other Personal 9780 Motor Personal 9780 Property Damage 1780 Product Liability 1780	Security Act	422 Appeal 28 USC 158   423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157   PROPERTY RIGHTS   820 Copyrights   830 Patent   840 Trademark   861 HIA (1395ff)   862 Black Lung (923)   863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))   864 SSID Title XVI   865 RSI (405(g))   FEDERAL TAX SUITS   870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)   871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes				
V. ORIGIN Original Proceeding  VI. CAUSE OF ACT  VII. REQUESTED II	ION    Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a Diversity of Citizenship Jurisdiction Brief description of cause:   Premises Liability, Liquor Liability.	Reinstated or Reopened anoth (spector filing (Do not cite jurisdiction n - 28 U.S.C. s. 1332	al statutes unless diversity):					
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23		JURY DEMAND:	Yes 🗖 No				
VIII. RELATED CA IF ANY	(See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER					
07/14/2005	SIGNATURE OF AT	TJORNEY OF BECORD						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY  RECEIPT #	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE				
RECEIPT #	AFFLINGIP		MAG. JUI					

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

1.	Title of	Fitle of case (name of first party on each side only)  Derek A. Andrade v. Brashmode, Inc., et al									
						<u> </u>					
2.	_	tegory in which the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the civil cover sheet. (See local									
	rule 40.	1(a)(1)).									
		ì.	160, 410, 470, 535, F	R.23, REGARDL	ESS OF NATURE OF	SUIT.					
		II.			0, 550, 555, 625, 710, 890, 532-34, 895, 95		*Also co formate	mplete trac		0 121 When cases	
	•	III.	110, 120, 130, 140, 1 315, 320, 330, 340, 3 380, 385, 450, 891.		30, 240/24 90, 310, 50, 362, 365, 370, 371,	,	A ( )	V	<b>اسک</b> انف دن	al de De	
		IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 4 690, 810, 861-865, 8		10, 530, 610, 620, 630, 0.	, 640, 65	0, 660,				
		٧.	150, 152, 153.								
3.			; if any, of related cas dicate the title and nu				prior relate	ed cas	e has been fil	ed in this	
	None										
4.	Has a p	rior actio	n between the same p	arties and base	d on the same claim		n filed in th	is cou	rt?		
						YES		NO			
5.	Does th §2403)	ne compla	int in this case questi	on the constitu	tionality of an act of o	congress	affecting t	he pub	olic interest?	(See 28 USC	
	• ,					YES		NO	<b>N</b>		
	If so, is	the U.S.A	. or an officer, agent	or employee of	the U.S. a party?				h-m-d		
						YE\$		NO	<u>\</u>		
6.	Is this	case requ	ired to be heard and d	letermined by a	district court of three	e judges	pursuant to	title 2	28 USC §2284	?	
						YES		NO			
7.			ies in this action, exc "governmental agenci								
		•		, ,		YEŞ	~	NO	Ò		
		A.	If yes, in which divi	sion do <u>all</u> of th	e non-governmental	parties r	eside?				
			Eastern Division	~	Central Division			West	ern Division		
		В.	If no, in which divis residing in Massaci		ority of the plaintiffs o	or the on	ly parties, e	xcludi	ng governme	ntal agencies,	
			Eastern Division		Central Division			West	ern Division		
8.	•		of Removal - are there e sheet identifying the	-	ending in the state co	ourt requ	iring the at	tention	of this Court	? (If yes,	
		·		·		YES		NO			
/PI	FASET	YPE OR F	PRINT)								
ΑT	TORNEY	'S NAME	John T. Landry,	III, Giynn, La	ndry, Harrington 8	Rice,	LLP				
			rbes Road, Braintre								
			781) 356-1399 x. 1								
, to		·····	••						(CategoryForm	.wpd - 5/2/05)	